Best Practices in Achieving Employment Outcomes: Findings from a Multiple Case Study of Agencies in the Public Rehabilitation Program

RRTC-EBP-VR  Phase II Studies

State-of-the-Science Conference
April 9, 2014
Bethesda, MD

Michael J. Leahy (MSU)
Roy Del Valle (MSU)
Susan Sherman (MSU)
The Rehabilitation Research and Training Center on Effective Vocational Rehabilitation Service Delivery Practices (RRTC-EBP-VR) is established at both the University of Wisconsin-Madison, and the University of Wisconsin-Stout under a grant from the Department of Education, National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research (NIDRR) grant number PR# H133B100034.
The **RRTC-EBP-VR** is a national research collaborative partnered by the following key institutions and organizations:

- The University of Wisconsin – Madison
  Department of Rehabilitation Psychology and Special Education

- The University of Wisconsin – Stout
  Stout Vocational Rehabilitation Institute (SVRI)

- The University of Texas – El Paso

- Southern University at Baton Rouge

- Michigan State University

Contact Us Toll Free: 1-855-820-3929
Online: www.research2VRpractice.org
What does the RRTC-EBP-VR do?

The Rehabilitation Research & Training Center for Evidence-Based Practice in Vocational Rehabilitation (RRTC-EBP-VR) funded by NIDRR conducts evidence-based research and provides practitioners with knowledge and tools for evidence-based vocational rehabilitation practices that will improve employment rates, and quality of employment, for people with disabilities.
Our Goal…

To ensure that new knowledge gained through the course of research *ultimately improves the lives of people with disabilities, and furthers their participation in society* (NIDRR, 2005).
What is the desired impact of the RRTC-EBP-VR?

- Improve employment and quality of employment outcomes for people with disabilities who receive services from state Vocational Rehabilitation Agencies
- Communicate important research findings related to high performing state VR programs
- Showcase effective Vocational Rehabilitation Service Delivery
- Enhance and influence policy development to improve outcomes in the Vocational Rehabilitation System
- Demonstrate effective tools, strategies, and relationships for sharing key information
What is Evidence Based Practice?

Evidence Based Practice (EBP) is “...a clinical decision-making process beginning with formulating clinical questions to ask, determining the best practice, and critically appraising the evidence for validity and applicability to the particular situation.” (Chan et al. 2010).
The Call For Evidence-Based Practices (EBP)

State-federal vocational rehabilitation (VR) agencies, rehabilitation counselors and practitioners are under increased pressure to adopt and pursue evidenced-based practices.

The rehabilitation counseling literature has been criticized for a lack of empirical work providing support for the development and use of evidence-based practices.
5 Levels of EBP

Level 1: Systematic review of multiple, well designed, randomized controlled trials

Level 2: Properly designed, randomized controlled trials of appropriate size

Level 3: Well-designed trials, single-group pre-post, cohort, time series, or matched case-controlled studies

Level 4: Well-designed non-experimental studies

Level 5: Opinions of respected authorities based on clinical evidence, descriptive studies, or reports of expert committees.
Best Practices in Achieving Employment Outcomes: Findings from a Multiple Case Study of Agencies in the Public Rehabilitation Program

The purpose of this qualitative study was to discover emerging and promising vocational rehabilitation service delivery practices that help improve employment outcomes of people with disabilities.
In addition to promising best practices, the study also provides a comprehensive analysis of the policies, procedures, practices and structural elements related to the provision of effective best practices to individuals with disabilities served in the four state sample of VR agencies that lead to successful employment outcomes.
Investigators – Phase II

- Michael J. Leahy, Ph.D., Principal Investigator, RRTC Phase II
- Roy J. Del Valle, Doctoral Research Assistant
- Susan G. Sherman, Doctoral Research Assistant
- Fong Chan, Ph.D.
- Cayte Anderson, Ph.D.
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- David Rosenthal, Ph.D.
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- Alo Dutta, Ph.D.
- Kat Lui, Ph.D.
- Sandra Fitzgerald, Ph.D.
Preliminary Phase II Activities

- Comprehensive literature review on EBP (published)
- Online survey of state VR Agency Directors, CSAVR Regional Representatives, NET state contacts and TACE Directors
- Design and development of the Research Protocol
- Pilot study in the State of Michigan (MRS)
- Comprehensive review of all state agencies for potential inclusion in the four-state sample
RRTC-EBP-VR Phase II Methodology

Multiple state VR agency case study approach of four state VR agencies participating in the study: Maryland, Mississippi, Texas and Utah.

Agency document analysis: State VR Plan, Innovation Unit Proposals, and other information about programs

Semi-Structured and Focus Group Interviews: Organizational Promotion of Best Practices, Design and Best Practice Intervention and Evaluating Impact.
Modified CQR Methodology

Consensual Qualitative Research (CQR) (Hill, 2012).

• Domain identification
• CORE ideas
• Cross analysis
• Use of an auditor

Process repeated for each of the four states and a final cross-analysis performed by the Research Team across all four states in the sample.
RRTC-EBP-VR Phase II Research Questions

What are the specific best practices that appear to be evidence-based and transportable to other state VR agencies?

What are the best models of effective practice, policy and procedures among state VR agencies that result in the creation of an environment that promotes innovation and the effective delivery of services to assist individuals with disabilities to achieve employment outcomes?
Service Delivery Promising Practices

Service Provision:

Valforce

Work Incentive Planning and Benefits Services.

Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) program

Partnerships:

Choose To Work

Utah Defendant Offender Workforce Development Taskforce

Maryland Seamless Transition Collaborative

Individual Placement and Support
Service Delivery Promising Practices

Technology:
DARSforce
Soft Skills Training

Certification:
CRP Certification
Supported Job Based Training and Supported Employment
Organizational Promising Practices

Innovation:
*Incubator unit*

Technology:
*SharePoint*
*Data Driven*

Dual Customer Approach
*E-3*
*Business Relations*

*Business Development Program and Employment Coordinators*
Organizational Promising Practices

Staffing:
*Specialized Coordinators, Counselors, and Caseloads*

Training:
*Clinical and Organizational Skills Enhancement*

Service Development:
*Rapid Response and Internal Service Delivery*
*Linking Innovative Networks of Community Services*
RRTC-EBP-VR Phase II Research Questions

What are the best models of effective practice, policy and procedures among state VR agencies that result in the creation of an environment that promotes innovation and the effective delivery of services to assist individuals with disabilities to achieve employment outcomes?
Innovative Environment & Effective Service Delivery Practices

State VR Agency Leader Domains

Environment:
- Culture
- Partnerships
- Business Model
- Resources

Practices:
- Working Alliance
- Autonomy
- Processes/Systems
- Technology
Innovative Environment & Effective Service Delivery Practices

State VR Agency Mid-Manager Domains

Environment:
- Culture Change
- Autonomy
- Accountability
- Promising Practices/Innovation

Practice:
- Client Centered Evaluation
- Training/Staff Recognition
- Partnerships
Innovative Environment & Effective Service Delivery Practices

State VR Agency Counselor/Staff Domains

Environment:
- Culture/Innovation
- Committed Leadership
- Processes/Systems
- Promising Practices/Innovation

Practices:
- Leadership
- Comprehensive Services
- Partnerships
- Technology
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# State Agency Cross Analysis

**Effective Practices That Promote Innovative VR Service Delivery Practice: Agency Leaders**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Texas</th>
<th>Utah</th>
<th>Mississippi</th>
<th>Maryland</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>Culture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partnerships</td>
<td>Working Alliance</td>
<td></td>
<td>Partnerships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encouraging Innovation</td>
<td>Support for Innovation</td>
<td>Support for Innovation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return on Investment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Integration</td>
<td>Business Model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing Visibility</td>
<td>Constituent Relations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counselor Autonomy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Succession Planning &amp;</td>
<td>Leader Continuity</td>
<td>Leader Continuity</td>
<td>Leadership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Communication</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
State Agency Cross Analysis

Effective Practices Promote Innovative VR Service Delivery Practice: Mid-Managers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Texas</th>
<th>Utah</th>
<th>Mississippi</th>
<th>Maryland</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>Culture Change</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Recognition</td>
<td>Staff Recognition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partnerships &amp; Collaboration</td>
<td>Partnerships &amp; Collaboration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
<td>Outcome Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity Building</td>
<td></td>
<td>Training</td>
<td>Training Initiatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teamwork</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accountability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Support for Innovation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Resources/Funding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# State Agency Cross Analysis

**Effective Practices That Promote Innovative VR Service Delivery Practice: VR Counselors/Staff**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Texas</th>
<th>Utah</th>
<th>Mississippi</th>
<th>Maryland</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>Culture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation</td>
<td>Evaluation</td>
<td>Evaluation</td>
<td>Leadership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Partnerships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partnerships</td>
<td>Client Centered Services</td>
<td></td>
<td>Committed Staff &amp; Leaders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comprehensive Service Provision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Encouraging Innovation</td>
<td>Support for Innovation</td>
<td>Support for Innovation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Staff Training &amp; Development</td>
<td>Staff Training &amp; Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recognition/Rewards</td>
<td>Recognition/Rewards</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The qualitative data collected and analyzed in this initial study of evidence-based and promising practices will be used in the next stage of this investigation in a national Delphi Study of vocational rehabilitation experts to gain a national consensus on the importance and portability of these promising practices for other state agencies to implement to increase employment outcomes for those served.
Multiple Case Study Summary

The current study also collected qualitative data for each of the four states on their performance with specific sub-populations of customers that have been historically underserved.

We also designed and implemented a survey instrument to examine the perceptions of rehabilitation counselors in each of the four states regarding EBP. These data are displayed and discussed in detail within the overall research monograph and the upcoming Special Issue of the Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation.
Multiple Case Study Summary

Each of the four states studied were very forthcoming with information, candid in all discussions and very helpful to the study by opening up their agency to the research teams.

The state directors were particularly helpful to the study by arranging for meetings with senior level staff, mid management personnel and rehabilitation counselors, providing reports and documents the team needed to review and effectively communicating to their respective staff the importance of this study for public rehabilitation efforts.
Multiple Case Study Summary

The multiple case studies and onsite reviews were very satisfactory to the research teams. The CQR methodology worked extremely well, across the four state agencies, levels of staff interviewed (senior, mid-management and rehabilitation counselor levels) and multiple research teams.
Multiple Case Study Summary

Two types of best practices emerged from our review of each state:

• Organizational best practices that lead to better employment outcomes for those served.
• Service delivery best practices (interventions, services) that lead to employment.

We use the term “promising practices” rather than evidence-based practices in this study to describe creative organizational and service delivery practices leading to increased levels of employment for customers served by the four state agencies.
Lack of rigorous research validating these practices. While some practices had more specific outcome data associated with them than others, many of the practices had very indirect evidence of effectiveness and although highly promising in terms of staff and management perspectives, they lack empirical support at the level needed to refer to them as evidence-based practices.

Most did not meet the expectations of manualized interventions or services. It is clear that staff within these agencies are doing their best to identify and design promising organizational and service delivery practices, but they lack for the most part, the technical expertise to design and carry out empirical studies to formally measure the impact on employment outcomes.
Multiple Case Study Summary

The CQR methodology was effectively used to study the responses of three levels of staff within each state agency to questions that tried to uncover and understand significant organizational or cultural factors and initiatives that were implemented to support the agencies environment that lead to creative interventions and services aimed at increasing employment opportunities for those served.

The qualitative data here is highly rich and validated not only across the three levels of personnel within each respective agency, but also across all four agencies in the sample.
Next Steps

• National Delphi Study of promising practices
• Publication of the Phase II findings in a Special Issue of the Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation (seven manuscripts in preparation now).
• Dissemination of the Special Issue – Fall 2014
Conclusion and Questions

Thank you!

Questions or comments?
If you want to improve employment and quality of work outcomes for people with disabilities,

make OUR research, YOUR best practice!

www.research2VRpractice.org